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Music & Salience network: emotional (1) & memory (2) aspects of music fMRI


Relatively spared in most dementias
“....initial focus was on reducing the use of antipsychotic medications, the Partnership’s larger mission is to enhance the use of non-pharmacologic approaches and person-centered dementia care practices.”
CHALLENGING BEHAVIORS: Best validated non-pharmacological controls

meta-analysis of randomized control trials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Intervention</th>
<th>Standardized Effect Size</th>
<th>Confidence Intervals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Person-centered care</td>
<td>0.3-1.8</td>
<td>(large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communication skills training</td>
<td>0.3-1.8</td>
<td>(large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behavioral mapping</td>
<td>0.3-1.8</td>
<td>(large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Music therapy</td>
<td>0.5-0.9</td>
<td>(large)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group activities</td>
<td>0.5-0.6</td>
<td>(medium)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effect size strength: 0.2-low; 0.5-medium; 0.8-large

CHALLENGING BEHAVIORS, continued

- CMS Innovation study; 30 nursing homes
- “Habilitation Therapy:"
  - Person-centered
  - Positive behavioral controls/relationships
  - Does not focus on loss but on what remains
  - Evaluated various quality measures
- Reliable reduction in anti-psychotic use

Does Nursing Facility Use of Habilitation Therapy Improve Performance on Quality Measures?

Literature on computer engagement in subjects with dementia or MCI, e.g.:

- Tablets for persons w/ dementia
- “ALADDIN” platform for dementia & care providers
- Independent touch screen game for dementia (*Bubble Xplode*)
- Virtual reality desktop computers for dementia
- Preferred nature scenes/music & challenging behaviors
- Tailored computer interventions for dementia
- Technology-aided verbal reminiscence for dementia
- Computer-based creativity promoting touch pad (ePAD) for dementia
- Computer engagement in subjects with MCI
- Smart phone use to capture continuous pictures during day

4 Zucchella et al. Funct Neurol. 2014 Jul-Sep;29(3):153-8
5 Eggert et al. SAGE Open Med. 2015 Aug 31;3:2050312115602579
8 Leuty et al. Assist Technol. 2013 Summer;25(2):72-9
10 DeLeo, Brivio & Sautter Applied Neuropsych 2011, 18, 69-76
Disclaimer on Birdsong Initiative:

- Previously reported findings to the Virginia Geriatric Mental Health Partnership were flawed and prematurely released.
  - Study did not reliably reduce anti-psychotic use
  - Study did not reliably reduce challenging behaviors
Purpose of this study

Effects of *It’s Never Too Late (IN2L)* computer platform (Denver, CO)

Performed in a naturalistic setting:
- Assisted living secured memory care unit
- Long term care unit
Individual, customized touch screen computer

Applications include:
- Music
- Games/Puzzles
- Classic TV comedies
- Travel
- Skype and internet access

And ability to monitor/quantify usage

http://in2l.com/
# METHODS

**Experimental treatment condition**
- **Guided computer engagement**
  - Guided by TR/MD students (or other volunteers)
  - Each session restricted to 10 apps (e.g., music, classic comedies)
  - Free IN2L computer access thereafter and
  - Multiple group IN2L computer activities as standard of care

**Control treatment condition**
- Multiple group IN2L computer activities as standard of care

**All other standard of care provided in both conditions**

**Two separate experiments:**
- **Exp 1)**
  - Severe dementia:
    - 1 hr/d x 5d/wk x 12 weeks

- **Exp 2)**
  - MCI:
    - 1 hr/d x 5d/wk x 6 weeks w/6-wk prep/facilitator training
## Subject profile for each experiment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><strong>Severe Dementia</strong></th>
<th></th>
<th><strong>MCI</strong></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>N’s: Exp 4, Con 6</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>N’s: Exp 5, Con 5</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inclusion Criteria:</strong></td>
<td>Reside in Nursing Care Unit or Secured Memory Unit</td>
<td>Diagnosis of Dementia or Dementia related disorder</td>
<td>Reside in Assisted Living Unit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Duration of Study</strong></td>
<td>12 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td>6 weeks</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Intervention time/week</strong></td>
<td><strong>5 hrs/week</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>5 hrs/week</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average Age:</strong></td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average MoCA:</strong></td>
<td><strong>10 Severe dementia</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>21 MCI</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gender compare:</strong></td>
<td>F=83.6%</td>
<td></td>
<td>F=87.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>M=16.4%</td>
<td></td>
<td>M=12.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Statistical analyses

- A mixed-design $2 \times 2$ (experimental vs. control group) ANCOVA with Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MOCA) scores added as a covariate.
RESULTS: guided computer engagement vs. control: overview

For both Severe dementia study (Exp. 1) & MCI study (Exp. 2)
- Reliable ↑ Affect Balance Scale
- Overall ↓ Perceived Stress Scale for CNA caregivers

For MCI study (Exp. 2) only
- Reliable ↑ Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)
- Reliable ↓ Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)

No reliable differences in either experiment for:
- Antipsychotic Medication Doses/Medication Administration Record (MAR) review
- Documented Behaviors (Frequency & Intensity)
- Systolic blood pressure

Pending biomarkers: Salivary cortisol & alpha-amylase
Severe Dementia: ↑ Affect Balance Scale

Reliable ↑ in guided computer Exp. group (Pre- vs. post: ($F[1, 7] = 6.74, \ p = .036$) but not Con. group

Effect size: Large
partial eta sq 0.49
Severe dementia: Overall ↓ CNA Perceived Stress Scale

Reliable ↓ over entire experiment collapse across treatments $p = .02$

Effect size: **Large** $\text{partial eta sq} = 0.318$
MCI Study: ↑ Affect Balance Scale

Reliable ↑ in guided computer Exp group (p=0.007) but not Con group

Effect size: Large  partial eta sq = 0.369
MCI study: ↓ CNA Perceived Stress Scale

Reliable ↓ over entire experiment collapsed across treatments ($p=.024$)

Effect size: Large $\text{partial eta squared}= 0.493$
MCI study: ↓ Geriatric Depression Scale

Reliable ↓ in guided computer Exp. group \((p=0.022)\) but not Con group

Effect size: Large  \(\text{partial eta squared} = 0.344\)
MCI study: ↑MoCA

Reliable ↑ in guided computer Exp. group (p=0.045) but not Con

Effect size: Large, partial eta squared = 0.330

![Graph showing MoCA scores over time for CONTROL and EXPERIMENTAL groups.](image-url)
Summary of Results:
guided computer engagement vs. control

For both Severe dementia study (Exp 1) & MCI study (Exp. 2)
• Reliable ↑ Affect Balance Scale
• Overall ↓ Perceived Stress Scale for CNA caregivers

For MCI study (Exp. 2) only
• Reliable ↑ Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)
• Reliable ↓ Geriatric Depression Scale (GDS)

No reliable differences in either experiment for:
• Antipsychotic Medication Doses/Medication Administration Record (MAR) review
• Documented Behaviors (Frequency & Intensity)
• Systolic blood pressure

Pending biomarkers: Salivary cortisol & alpha-amylase
Conclusions: Guided Computer Engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Improved overall sense of wellbeing in:</th>
<th>Improved cognition and depression:</th>
<th>Neither study showed benefits on:</th>
<th>CNA overall participation ↓ perceived stress</th>
<th>This effort also shows:</th>
<th>Study provides further evidence for:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subjects w/ both severe dementia &amp; subjects w/ MCI</td>
<td>But only in subjects w/ MCI</td>
<td>Challenging behaviors, Rx use, or Blood pressure</td>
<td>Demonstrated in both guided engagement studies</td>
<td>Efficacy of research in real-world LTC/AL environments</td>
<td>benefits of computer engagement in dementia &amp; MCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Failure in severe dementia: severity of cognitive impairment?</td>
<td>Perhaps due to small sample of subjects on Rx’s</td>
<td>Shows beneficial CNA effect of cooperating w/ research</td>
<td>Shows beneficial CNA effect of cooperating w/ research</td>
<td>Productive CCRC-academic collaborative research effort</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Could help burnout/turnover care</td>
<td>Engagement of student learners (TR &amp; MD students)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Study provides further evidence for:

- Benefits of computer engagement in dementia & MCI

Conclusions: Guided Computer Engagement

Improved overall sense of wellbeing in:
- Subjects w/ both severe dementia & subjects w/ MCI

Improved cognition and depression:
- But only in subjects w/ MCI
- Failure in severe dementia: severity of cognitive impairment?

Neither study showed benefits on:
- Challenging behaviors, Rx use, or Blood pressure
- Perhaps due to small sample of subjects on Rx’s

CNA overall participation ↓ perceived stress:
- Demonstrated in both guided engagement studies
- Shows beneficial CNA effect of cooperating w/ research
- Could help burnout/turnover care

This effort also shows:
- Efficacy of research in real-world LTC/AL environments
- Productive CCRC-academic collaborative research effort
- Engagement of student learners (TR & MD students)

Engagement of student learners (TR & MD students)

This effort also shows:
- Efficacy of research in real-world LTC/AL environments
- Productive CCRC-academic collaborative research effort
- Engagement of student learners (TR & MD students)

Engagement of student learners (TR & MD students)
Weaknesses

Small n’s; need for larger studies

Exposure to computer system by both Exp & Con
- Hence, not a true “placebo control” group
- b/c Group IN2L exposure used as standard of care by TR’s
- But Exp groups had individual guided engagement plus
- Free individual access at any time, typically w/ CNA help

Not clear if beneficial effects due to:
- Guided computer engagement
- The specific computer system used
- A combination of both
PLANNED FUTURE STUDIES

- Replicate study in 3 other long term care facilities
- Evaluate system in subjects with MCI/mild dementia
- Evaluate system in independent living subjects
- Extend advanced dementia studies to evaluate other interventions like recreational music making
- With greater power to detect Rx changes
- Increase the sample size in rolling admission
- Inclusive criteria: prescribed anti-psychotic medications
- Use of Neuropsychiatric Inventory to track challenging behaviors
Technology Use to Engage Persons with Dementia

- Possible by engaging the “Salience Network”
- Technology does not “cure” dementia
- Technology varies in expense and equipment, but research shows significant effects
- Many promising applications emerging
- Due diligence necessary balancing the various benefits and costs, no one shoe fits all

Due diligence necessary balancing the various benefits and costs, no one shoe fits all.

Technology does not “cure” dementia.

Technology varies in expense and equipment, but research shows significant effects.

Many promising applications emerging.

Due diligence necessary balancing the various benefits and costs, no one shoe fits all.